

commit terrorist acts. That is very important. We do some of that in here. But there is an equally important aspect of preventing and apprehending before they commit the heinous act, those engaged in terrorist activities. We do not do a very good job of that in here.

I yield the floor, and I beg my colleague to yield and not take the floor because I will have to respond to him—and he is talking a lot more than I am—and let my friend from California proceed.

Several Senators addressed the Chair.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I will only take a moment, with regard to posse comitatus. In true emergency situations the President has full authority to resolve those and use the military if he wants to. The reason the President would want us to put posse comitatus language in there is because it takes him off the hook. The fact is, the President has that authority.

Mr. BIDEN. I will respond to that later, Mr. President.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from California.

#### THE ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION BILL

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, both the Senator from Utah and the Senator from Delaware are certainly hard acts to follow.

I want to comment on this bill, but before I do so I want to make a public appeal to the majority leader to please, please, please bring back on the floor the illegal immigration bill. This bill, I believe, has widespread bipartisan support. But more fundamentally, I cannot tell you how important this bill is to the safety and well-being of the people of California.

Right now on the border you have miles without a Border Patrol agent. Right now, for both Senator BOXER and I, Border Patrol people come in and tell us how they have rocks thrown at them, how they are concerned for their own safety.

A few weeks ago you had a major freeway accident with 19 people killed, illegal immigrants in a van. More recently you had an incident, publicized all over the United States, of an unfortunate law enforcement action which involved unrestrained force against illegal immigrants who pummeled on a freeway, hitting other automobiles, trying to get away from a sheriff's officer in pursuit.

This is the State that passed Proposition 187, which was a call for help from the Federal Government to enforce the law and change the law and stop illegal immigration.

Mr. President, there is so much that this bill—worked on so hard by Senator SIMPSON, worked on I think on both sides of the aisle in the subcommittee and in the full committee—does. Let me just say it adds 700 Border Patrol agents in the current fiscal year; 1,000 more in the next 4 years. It takes the

total number of agents up to 7,000 by 1999. That is double the force that was in place 3 years ago. Every border State wants that.

It establishes a 2-year pilot project for interior repatriation. When somebody comes across the border, they are not just returned to the other side of the border, but they are returned deep into the interior to stop them from coming right back again.

It adds 300 full-time INS investigators for the next 3 fiscal years to enforce laws against alien smuggling, and it adds alien smuggling and document fraud, a big problem, as predicate acts in RICO statutes, something that Federal prosecutors have asked for.

It increases the maximum penalty for involuntary servitude, to discourage cases like the one we saw very recently where scores of illegal workers from Thailand were smuggled in and forced to work in subhuman conditions, against their will, in a sweatshop in southern California.

Mr. President, this bill is critical. It is an important thing for border States and particularly for the State of California. If Proposition 187 was not the bellwether that said, "Federal Government, do your job," I do not know what else will be.

So I earnestly and sincerely, please, I beg the majority leader to bring this bill back on the floor, let us debate it, let us resolve it, let us pass it, let us get it signed, and let it get into law in the State of California.

#### TERRORISM PREVENTION ACT— CONFERENCE REPORT

The Senate continued with the consideration of the conference report.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, I thank the distinguished chairman of the Judiciary Committee for his work on this bill and the distinguished ranking member for his work on this bill.

I am particularly disappointed that the House succeeded in gutting the commonsense prohibition on distributing instructions for bomb making for criminal purposes. I will talk about that in a minute. But the good news is that the conference report also restored good provisions to this bill. I am especially gratified that the conference committee restored my amendment which gives the Secretary of Treasury the authority to require taggants for tracing explosives.

The Senator from Delaware, the distinguished ranking member, just explained what taggants are: simple little coded plastic chips that are mixed with batches of commercially available explosives. They allow law enforcement to trace a bomb that has exploded, just like one would trace a car by knowing the license plate number. That is exactly what taggants are.

It was studied 16 years ago. Everybody said go ahead with it. They have been available. And it has now happened.

Incidentally, it took the Unabomber 18 years to, quite possibly, get caught.

Three people have been killed, 23 people have been wounded, in bombs that really plagued nine States. This time could have been cut in half, perhaps, if we had tagging of explosives.

Unfortunately, the bill completely exempts black powder from either tagging or study requirements. I must say, how can a bill even refute the ability to study tagging of black powder? The amendment I submitted on taggants essentially provided for its addition, taggants' addition, where explosives would be bought in larger amounts. But, where small amounts of black powder were purchased to use in antique guns and for small arms, the taggant would not be included.

The NRA opposes this. What the National Rifle Association is clearly saying is they do not want any taggants in black powder explosives period, or even a study of it. Can you imagine the power of an organization that is able to successfully say we will not even study the impact of tagging black powder, which is also used as the triggering device on major explosive bombs that are used by terrorists? I have a very hard time with that.

I heard the distinguished chairman of the Judiciary Committee just say the NRA opposed excluding alien terrorists from this country. The NRA opposed excluding alien terrorists from this country—unbelievable. I think I just heard him say the NRA opposed a prohibition on fundraising in this country by terrorist groups.

Let me tell you something, if anybody believes that Hamas is in this country raising money to use it for charitable purposes, I will sell you a bridge tomorrow. I will sell you a bridge tomorrow. That is just unbelievable to me.

Nevertheless, I thank the chairman of the Judiciary Committee for standing Utah tall in the conference committee on the issue of taggants. I would like to thank Senator BIDEN and Senator KENNEDY for their help as well. I think this is a very important step forward and I do not mean to diminish it in any way.

I also must say that I view the habeas corpus reform also as an important step forward. Abuse of the writ of habeas corpus, most egregiously by death row inmates who file petition after petition after petition on groundless charges will come to an end with the passage and the signature of this bill. I believe it is long overdue.

For anyone who believes that habeas is not abused, let me just quickly—because it has been thrown out before, and I know others want to speak—speak about the Robert Alton Harris case. It, I think, is a classic case on what happened with Federal habeas corpus, and State habeas corpus.

Mr. Harris was convicted in 1978 for killing two 17-year-old boys in a merciless way, eating their hamburgers, and then going out and robbing a bank.

His conviction became final in October of 1981. Yet, he was able to delay